Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/206,329	ZHANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	George R. Evanisko	3762
All Participants:	Status of Application	:
(1) <u>George R. Evanisko</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>C. G. Mersereau</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 April 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ App Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	licant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1 and 19 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GET	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet		
Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumn	The examiner will provide a te record of the substance of	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: to overcome a minor objection to the claims to clarify the language of the claims to change "can" to "is adapted to" to specify that the sensing means had been adapted to perform that limitation. In addition, figure 2 of the drawings of 2/20/02 should be canceled and the figure numbers amended accordingly--figures 1-17 were originally filed.